

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILI	NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/085,190	02/27/2002		Theodore Wortrich		1444
7:	590	10/19/2004		EXAMINER	
Raymond A. l #109	Bogucki		NGUYEN, VI X		
6914 Canby Av	/e.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Reseda, CA 9			•	3731	
				DATE MAILED: 10/19/2004	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	<del>70</del>
	·			
	Office Action Summary	10/085,190	WORTRICH, THEODORE	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	The MAN INCO DATE of this commission	Victor X Nguyen	3731	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	appears on the cover sheet wit	n the correspondence address	
THE   - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REIMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION IS SIGNED FOR THIS COMMUNICATION IS SIGNED FOR THE MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state ply received by the Office later than three months after the mean patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re reply within the statutory minimum of thirty iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT itute, cause the application to become AB/	ply be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status		•		
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15	5 June 2004.		
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ T	his action is non-final.		
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for allow	wance except for formal matte	ers, prosecution as to the merits is	
	closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex parte Quayl</i> e, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Dispositi	on of Claims			
4) 🖂	Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the applicati	on.		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-8 is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.		
'=	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		·	
•	Claim(s) <u>9-11</u> is/are rejected.		•	
•	Claim(s) 12 is/are objected to.	d/ar alastian requirement	•	
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	a/or election requirement.		
Applicati	on Papers			
,—	The specification is objected to by the Exam	•		
10)[	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) $\square$ a			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to t			
44	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr			
11)[	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action of form, P10-132.	
Priority (	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore  ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	ign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
•	1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the p		received in this National Stage	
	application from the International Bur		an and and	
* (	See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies not	received.	
Attachmen	• •	4) 🗖 Jaton (2000)	ummanı (PTO 413)	
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413) )/Mail Date	
3) Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/ er No(s)/Mail Date		formal Patent Application (PTO-152) —	
0.0	rodomark Office			

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 9-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102 (e) as being anticipated by Hellenkamp (U.S. 6,527,788).

Hellenkamp discloses in figs. 6a, 7 and col. 4, lines 1-65, a microkeratome blade having the limitations of claim 9, including: a cutting blade (310, 310') has a thin body with an anterior linear boundary beveled to a cutting tip (313). The cutting blade also includes a substantially continuous curvilinear posterior boundary extending from one end corner of the anterior boundary (313 on an anterior side of the cutting blade as seen in fig. 7) to the other end corner (314 is considered the other end corner of the cutting blade) in a generally hemispherical form (fig. 7, the blade of Hellenkamp can be characterized as a generally hemispherical form, i.e., a half of a symmetrical, approximately spherical object as divided by a plane of symmetry.). The blade body also is formed to include an aperture (see col. 11, lines 33-40).

Regarding claims 10-11, Hellenkamp discloses the blade body includes a slot (see col. 12, lines 5-9) at a posterior position opening to the interior aperture from the posterior edge. The device further includes a blade holder (320) having a blade engaging surface including a boss

Art Unit: 3731

(328, fig. 6a) configured to mate within the holder, and where further includes a slot between the posterior boundary and the interior aperture (figures 6a, 7).

## Allowable Subject Matter

2. Claim 12 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: None of the prior art of record, alone or in combination, discloses all of the limitations of claim 12 including, the blade is of about 0.01" thick and the anterior boundary is in the range of 0.450" to 0.525" long, where the maximum anterior to posterior dimension is in the range of 0.300-0.400" deep, and where the bevel at the anterior boundary is angled to extend about 0.050" deep.

As allowable subject matter has been indicated, applicant's reply must either comply with all formal requirements or specifically traverse each requirement not complied with. See 37 CFR 1.111(b) and MPEP § 707.07(a).

## Response to Amendment

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 9-12 have been considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant is asked to please refer to the modified prior art rejection above wherein examiner addresses applicant's concerns regarding prior art rejections. For example, Hellenkamp discloses in figs. 6a, 7 and col. 4, lines 1-65, a microkeratome, including: a cutting blade (310, 310') has a thin body with an anterior linear boundary beveled to a cutting tip (313). The cutting blade also includes a substantially continuous curvilinear posterior boundary extending from one end corner of the anterior boundary (313 on an anterior side of the cutting

Art Unit: 3731

blade as seen in fig. 7) to the other end corner (314 is considered the other end corner of the cutting blade) in a generally hemispherical form (fig. 7, the blade of Hellenkamp can be characterized as a generally hemispherical form, i.e., a half of a symmetrical, approximately spherical object as divided by a plane of symmetry.). The blade body also is formed to include an aperture (see col. 11, lines 33-40).

## Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Victor X Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 305-4898. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8-4.30 P.M).

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/085,190 Page 5

Art Unit: 3731

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Victor X Nguyen Examiner Art Unit 3731

Vn **√**10/15/2004

JULIAN W. WOO
PRIMARY EXAMINER